

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/766,876	01/30/2004	Mitsuo Ochi	248254US0CONT	4093
22850	7590 10/10/2006	•	EXAMINER	
C. IRVIN MCCLELLAND			NAFF, DAVID M	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1657	

DATE MAILED: 10/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
· ·	10/766,876	OCHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David M. Naff	1651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDOI	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ju	ıly 2006.					
•—						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 22-28 is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers	·					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 January 2004</u> is/are						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/30/04, 4/29/04, 5/23/06.	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date				

Application/Control Number: 10/766,876 Page 2

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Claims in the application are 1-28.

A response of 7/17/06 to a restriction requirement of 6/16/06 elected Group I claims 1-21 with traverse on the ground that there are not adequate reasons for distinctness. However, reasons for distinctness have been stated in the restriction requirement, and these reasons are believe to be adequate. Therefore, the restriction requirement is adhered to and made final.

Claims 22-28 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 7/17/06.

Claims examined on the merits are 1-21.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims set forth insufficient structure of the support member to enable knowing how the structure surrounds the carrier in an externally accessible state. Additionally, the meaning and scope of

Art Unit: 1651

"externally accessible state" is uncertain. Being in an externally accessible state is relative and subjective.

In claims 2 and 16, the meaning of "palisade support member" is uncertain.

In claim 5 and other claims that require the support to comprise a suture thread, it is unclear as where the support contains the thread. Additionally, it is unlear as to the function of the thread in relation to the carrier and support.

Claims 14 and 15 are unclear how the treatment further defines and limits implant material. The implant material is not changed by the treatment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention

Application/Control Number: 10/766,876 Page 4

Art Unit: 1651

was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meenen et al (6,319,712 B1).

The claims are drawn to a base material comprising a porous carrier and a support member surrounding the carrier in an externally accessible state.

Meenen et al disclose a porous carrier in which cartilage cells can be culture in vitro (col 2, lines 20-40).

When using the carrier of Meenen et al for in vitro cell culture, it would have been obvious to use a support as in claim 2 inside the culture vessel to hold the carrier in a fixed position. The conditions of dependent claims would have been obvious in view of the references. A tread as in claim 5 would have been obvious to hold the support in contact with the carrier. After implanting the carrier after culturing, a graft will be adjacent the carrier as in claim 10.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David M. Naff whose telephone number is 571-272-0920. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-

Application/Control Number: 10/766,876 Page 5

Art Unit: 1651

0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David M. Naff Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651

DMN 10/2/06